

Put here the article(s) you submitted as deliverable(s) of Alcantara

1 *Remote Sens.* 2014, 6, 1-x manuscripts; doi:10.3390/rs60x000x

2

3

4

5

6 *Article*

*remote sensing*

ISSN 2072-4292

www.mdpi.com/journal/remotesensing

7 **Assessing the accuracy of algorithms to determine the extent of**  
8 **aquatic plants: NDVI slicing vs. Spectral unmixing**

9 Elijah K Cheruiyot<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Collins Mito<sup>2</sup>, Massimo Menenti<sup>1</sup>, Ben Gorte<sup>1</sup>, Roderik Koenders<sup>1</sup>  
10 and Nadia Akdim<sup>1</sup>

11 <sup>1</sup> Department of Geoscience and Remote Sensing, Delft University of Technology, P.O. Box 5048,  
12 2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands; M.Menenti@tudelft.nl; B.G.H.Gorte@tudelft.nl;  
13 roderikk@gmail.com; nadia.akdim@yahoo.fr.

14 <sup>2</sup> Department of Physics, University of Nairobi, P.O. Box 30197, 00100 Nairobi, Kenya;  
15 ekcheru@gmail.com; collins@uonbi.ac.ke

16 \* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; ekcheru@gmail.com;  
17 Tel. / Fax: +254 20 4442067 / 4449902.

18 *Received: / Accepted: / Published:*

19

20 **Abstract:** Changes in the status of aquatic vegetation in inland waters occur rapidly and  
21 requires satellite data with large swath and high acquisition frequency to monitor it. This  
22 kind of data is often associated with low spatial and spectral resolution. Occasionally, high  
23 resolution data is required to assess the quality of aquatic vegetation map products obtained  
24 with low resolution data. In this paper, we evaluate the classification quality of vegetation  
25 density maps obtained with low resolution MERIS data (300 m) using two mapping  
26 methods; on the one hand Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) empirically  
27 scaled to three levels, and on the other hand Linear Spectral Unmixing (LSU). We use as  
28 reference the classification results obtained with a higher resolution Landsat-7 ETM+ data  
29 (30 m), acquired almost simultaneously with MERIS. Scaled NDVI reports better  
30 classification performance with a binary classified reference (83.4%), than with a scaled  
31 NDVI reference (74%). On the other hand LSU reports lower performance with binary  
32 classified reference (RMSE = 0.31), than with reference obtained with LSU (RMSE =  
33 0.11). When a higher resolution image is used as reference, its treatment is crucial to the  
34 interpretation of reported accuracies.

35 **Keywords:** accuracy assessment; spectral unmixing; scaled NDVI; aquatic vegetation;  
36 MERIS; Landsat; Lake Victoria

37